

Town of Farmington
Board of Selectmen Meeting Minutes
Monday December 9, 2013
6:30PM
Selectmen's Chambers
356 Main Street

Approved by Board on December 23, 2013

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Charlie King, Chairman
Paula Proulx, Vice Chairman
Matt Scruton
James Horgan
Arthur Capello

MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

1. CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Charlie called the meeting to order and led the Pledge of the Allegiance at 6:22 PM

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Charlie opened Public Comments. There was none.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- **Minutes from November 25, 2013 Public Meeting**
- **Minutes from November 25, 2013 Non-Public Meeting - A**
- **Minutes from November 25, 2013 Non-Public Meeting - B**
- **Minutes from November 25, 2013 Non-Public Meeting - C**
- **Minutes from November 25, 2013 Non-Public Meeting - D**

MOTION: Matt motioned to approve Public meeting minutes for November 25, 2013, as well as all Non-Public minutes A, B, C and D for the same date.

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: Arthur asked if he could be counted as being present in the Public Meeting minutes and added that the date was actually Tuesday November 25th not Monday. All members were in agreement that Arthur was present and the day of the meeting was Monday.

VOTE - Approved as amended: All in favor. Motion passes.

- **Minutes from November 11, 2013 Non-Public Meeting A**
- **Minutes from November 11, 2013 Non-Public Meeting B**
- **Minutes from November 11, 2013 Non-Public Meeting C**
- **Minutes from November 11, 2013 Non-Public Meeting D**
- **Minutes from November 11, 2013 Non-Public Meeting E**

MOTION: Matt motioned to approve the November 21, 2013 Non-Public Minutes (A, B, C, D, and E).

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: Keith stated that this meeting was held on Tuesday and Arthur added that he was not present for these meetings. Charlie stated that the date should reflect Tuesday and Arthur was absent.

VOTE Approved as amended: All in favor / Arthur abstains. Motion passes.

4. OTHER:

Charlie introduced the new Recording Secretary, Debra Cantwell.

Jim asked if he could jump in and then asked Dale what happen a few weeks ago at the station. Dale explained what had happened and that they had some trouble with two waterlines. One was used for all the toilets in the Town hall and they knew for a few years there were problems with this line. The other line was connected to only one sink. After jack hammering the floor, cleaning out the line, fixing the problem, and giving it a try, it was a still a no go. Jim asked who help them with the repairs and Dale stated that it was Mark from Lapierre. Jim stated that they heard that Dale, Mark and the crew did a great job ensuring that all the town events were able to take place and thanked them for all their hard work. Dale stated that he had no idea there was a second line. Arthur asked how long Dale has worked for the Town and Dale stated 32 years. Charlie asked if this was related to another problem we had a while back and Dale stated that was a different issue and concerned the Rec office area, where there were two lines, but we knew about them. Jim asked if there were any more repairs needed and Dale stated that after they had to jack hammer the line and repairing it, he felt they were now all set if something happens to it again. Jim asked Dale if a camera had to be used in repairing the lines and if so where did it come from. Dale said that they had to use a camera and it was borrowed, but he anticipates the need to purchasing one in the future.

5. PUBLIC HEARING –Acceptance of Unanticipated Revenue from DES:

At 6:22PM, Charlie opened the Public hearing to accept unanticipated revenue in the amount of \$257,037.51 from the State of New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services for a grant reimbursement for closure of the Town’s Unlined Municipal Landfill under the provisions of RSA 149-M. The first check will be in the amount of \$51,407.50 with subsequent annual payments being made in the month of July over a course of 5 years. Charlie added that the grant will reimburse the town about 20 percent of the costs for the closing and the town has already received the first check in the amount of \$51,407.50.

Keith stated that he thinks the only thing left is to decide if the grant can be applied now. Charlie asked that if we dedicate a purpose for the funds, do we need a special warrant article. Keith answered yes, and if we want to dedicate the funds to the monitoring we will need to do a special warrant article for that purpose.

Charlie open discussion to the Public and asked if there was any Public participation. There was none. At 6:32PM Charlie closed Public Comment

Arthur asked about the ground water monitoring and if we knew the actual cost for the monitoring upgrades. Keith stated that he will have the figures for the stone water monitoring soon and Arthur stated good because he does not want to make a discussion without knowing the actual cost. Paula asked if we will need to change the Intent and Keith stated we may have to make a language change but right now we are just accepting it. Charlie asked if this was going to take care of this check and all the other subsequent check and Keith answered yes.

MOTION: Arthur motioned to accept the unanticipated revenue in the amount of \$257,037.51 from DES.

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: All in favor. Motion passes.

6. PUBLIC HEARING - Acceptance of COPS Grant

At 6:35PM Charlie opened Public Hearing to acceptance the COPS Grant money for the amount of \$125,000 from the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) for the purpose to offsetting the funding of the current School Resource Officer position.

Charlie stated that the meeting was now open to the public and asked if there was any Public commit or input. There was none. At 6:39PM Charlie closed Public Comment. He then asked Chief Willey to give a summary of the Grant.

Chief Willey stated that in the past the SRO has not been part to of this grant, however over the summer that changed and he was able to apply for the COPS grant, and they were recently approved for the grant. The Chief added that we currently have a written Memorandum for the School Resource Officer position between the School District and the Police Department, with the understanding of a 20/80 percent split. The Chief stated that last year they hired Officer Doug Trottier as the SRO and he has been extremely active. He added that in just two months of this year, Officer Trottier has had to deal with 45 incidents. He has also participated in teaching a driver's education course and visited classrooms discussing bullying. The Chief added that the Grant began October 1st and will expire September, 30, 2016, and will cover the SRO cost of up to 75% first year, 50% the second year, and 25% the third year for a total of \$125,000. Chief Willey stated that the \$125,000 was the max amount of the grant that could be applied for, and we were lucky to be granted whole amount. The chief stated that the current SRO is very dedicated in all 3 schools and we have made adjustments to enhance the position and the program.

Charlie asked if there were any questions and the Recording Secretary asked if Chief Willey could repeat the years and their percentage. The Chief stated that year 1 equals 75%; year 2 equals 50%; and year 3 equals 25%.

MOTION: Matt motioned to accept the grant money to fund the SRO in the amount of \$125,000.

SECOND: Arthur

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: All in favor. Motion passes.

7. **WATER SOURCE INVESTIGATION - Emery & Garrett**

Charlie asked Dale to come back up and speak. Dale introduced Dan Garrett from Emery & Garrett Consultants who would be presenting future sites for groundwater wells. Dale added that basically he just needs to get input and needs a concession from the Board to where to go from here. Mr. Garrett stated that he brought a slide show to present to the Board Members and Public outlining the water source investigation his firm was hired to do. He then asked if members brought their book/ paperwork tonight. Most board members stated they did not. Mr. Garrett then started his presentation.

Highlights of the presentation included:

Overview:

- Phase I – Completed last year and identified areas of interest
- Phase II – Has been completed and will be reviewed this evening, and identifies and determines target areas and where to drill
- Phase III – Next step to determine actual site locations and costs.

Overview of Phase II:

3 Types of Surveys were completed to identify bedrock and sand & gravel drilling targets

- Magnetism Surveys – Locates peaks and valleys in the magnetic signature of bedrock. Sharp drop in the magnetic values indicated bedrock fault zones. The survey actively looks for anomalies in bedrock structure which indicate that something changed possibly a rock formation.
- GEO Surveys – Geography surveys and maps the natural features of the land in the target areas. Geo Surveys require cooperation from landowners which is a key to any project like this.
- Electrical Resistivity Surveys - Provides a cross section of the sub ground and gives an image of the depth of sand, gravel and bedrock, as well as displays any faults in the bedrock.

Target Areas Identified:

- The favorite areas for drilling are located in both bedrock and sand & gravel
- 6 Primary Bedrock Areas identified
- 4 Primary Sand & Gravel Areas identified
- 1 Secondary Sand & Gravel Area identified

Chart Legend:

- Solid purple lines indicate primary bedrock areas that have potential

- Dashed lines indicate secondary zones
- Yellow lines indicate favorite primary bedrock targets
- Red lines indicate favorite secondary sand and gravel targets.

Questions and Discussions:

- Jim asked if his team was doing all the survey in town and Mr. Garrett answered yes. Mr. Garrett explained that one graft shows that the Hornetown area is actually a favor target area and Jim asked if that is why we see spikes in the grafts. Mr. Garrett answered yes and added that they try to look at many different data results to get a clear picture and if they can see data that represents that all 3 survey types indicate, that makes for better drainage and a much better target. Charlie asked if this data agreed with the other two tests and Keith stated he believed so but would need to look at that data.
- Jim questioned the area marked as FRM7 - Secondary Bedrock Area and said that this was our conservation land. Mr. Garrett stated that the survey didn't find anything there and the secondary areas that were found are all in the surrounding areas. Jim said he had some concerns with the area near Rochester on Route 11 and stated that Rochester has dropped a new well in the same area and asked what would the impact there. Charlie stated Rochester has limits in the summer on how much water they can draw from that well. Mr. Garret stated that we could draw a lot of water from that area; however we too may face limits on the amount we can draw from depending on the stream level at certain times during the year.
- Charlie asked which were the favorite zones in this area and Dan point these zones out on the slide show and stated that Primary Zone FRM1- SG2 is favorable but there may be a need to limit the amount during peak usage; FRM1- SG3 where we found a secondary zone that has two areas that are over 400 feet deep; and FRM2 which is a Primary Zone that has 3 favorable targets (A, B & C) with target A being the most favorable. Mr. Garrett also explained that the Primary Zone SG3 on Spring Street and RT11 where the rivers come together is very small and pretty shallow, however we found one area that was a little deeper but further down we found a better place with good sand & gravel at least 400 feet down. He added that there may be landowner and lease arrangements concerns, but it is a primary area that we thought they should identify.
- Mr. Garrett stated Ten Rod Road coming into Hornetown Road has 3 favorable areas, however he is not sure if the property owners would even let us in. He added that there is nothing to target on the Town forest property located in Zone 7, and added that other secondary areas in this Zone are less favorable then other secondary targets. Jim stated that he still had issue with Rochester and Mr. Garrett stated that we have a right to use the water the same as Rochester does, however we will also be asked to cutback the same as them when the time is needed.
- Charlie asked what the recommendation was and Mr. Garrett suggested that we move forward with Phase III. Charlie asked what that would entail and Mr. Garrett stated that two things need to happen; the Board needs to determine where to drill test wells, and then obtain permission to drill from landowners where needed. He added that the EGGI recommends

that test drilling be done on 6 primary bedrock well targets and 6 secondary sand & gravel well targets.

Overview of Phase III

Evaluate Drilling Rig Access To Each Target:

Mr. Garrett explained that they have actually gather data that rates the land to how accessible it will be which is something for the Board to think about, and asked does the Town actually do the road work/building or do they hire a company to do it. Mr. Garrett displayed two different types of equipment that could be used. Jim asked if a logging road is significant and Dan stated some of the work that may need to be done may include removing stubs and adding gravel.

Estimated Costs:

Mr. Garrett stated that drilling for exploratory test wells at six primary bedrock targets is approximately \$18,500 per well, times 5 wells would be roughly \$105,000. Arthur asked if that included the roads and Mr. Garrett stated no and any upgrades to roads would be additional cost. Charlie asked what the cost would be if we just target two wells using the sand and gravel rig and Mr. Garrett stated that would be hard to calculate. Jim stated that he would be inclined to stay away from Route 11 because we would have to share water and would prefer to look at the Hornetown area. Charlie stated that he agrees with Jim with the concerns of the river. He also asked where well number 6 comes into play and what the cost would be to add that target area and Paula added that might be a good idea especially if we can only afford to do one well. Mr. Garrett explained that he would need to look into that cost. Charlie then asked if we chose to do one well in the FRM 1 - SG2 area, would it be more favorable and Mr. Garrett explained that drilling a bedrock well will have a different impact on the river and having a bedrock well in the valley or out of the valley would be best.

Next Steps:

Mr. Garrett stated the next steps would be for the Board to decided where to drill the test wells and added that now we need to put a hole in the ground to see where the water. Mr. Garrett suggested that we should think about doing test drills in the all Sand & Gravel targets since 5 are primary targets any way, and added that some of these are on town land but most are on privately owned land so we will need land owner permission and roads will need to be upgraded to a minimum of a logging road.

Charlie asked Dale where do we go from here and Dale stated that he agreed that the Cocheco River is a concern and we should look towards area FRM1. Dale stated that he felt pretty comfortable with the bedrock and sand in that area. Charlie asked if we would be better to look at well number 6 and Dale stated that we could but it would be tight. Paula asked Dale to explain what he meant and Dale stated that the land there is very narrow and there is not much flexibility to move around the equipment. He added that we will be pretty limited there. Charlie stated that he is not ready to pick out sites yet and he would like to see a breakdown of the cost per each target well and whether there are any issues with the land owners and other costs before he could make a recommendation. He added that we need a

bedrock well that does not tie into our current system, and he would agree we need to put some money away. Jim stated that he would also agree.

Charlie asked if there were any other questions and all responded no. He thanked Mr. Garrett for his presentation.

MOTION: At 7:33PM Charlie motioned for a 5 minute recess.

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: All in favor. Motion passes.

Board returned from recess at 7:41PM.

Charlie asked Keith if it was ok to move items up in the agenda and if we could do the meeting with the Public Safety advisory now. Keith said he had no objections.

8. MEET WITH PUBLIC SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. Kelly Davis of Port One Architects stated that they have put together an agenda for this evening and would like to start with an overview and then talk about sites.

- Joan Funk stated that after the presentation, the Public Safety Advisory Committee would like to discuss the proposed sites in non-public, and Charlie stated that we can do that.
- Mr. Davis stated that he has been working with a company in Raymond and a lot of thought has gone into designing this building including need, estimated costs, the master schedule, bonding issues, as well as any other issues that have come up. He added that the layout of the building was designed as a joint collaboration between the Fire and Police Departments and explained that the Police Department will take up a large portion of the first floor and the Fire Department will take up the majority of the second floor; however both floors feature shared spaces as well. Mr. Davis then presented a floor plan of the new proposed building describing the layout of each floor.
- Joan stated that the estimated cost would include the building fitting on the location, traffic flow, and other things like that and the PSA Committee is suggesting we build on the location of the current Fire Station. She added that they came to this decision for the same reasons as we did for the library, and believe keeping the new building in the downtown area would best meet the needs of the community. Joan stated that we have looked at the cost, the size, codes, and shared space and felt sharing the costs of electric, heat and those sorts of things would be a benefit to this project.
- Matt asked what the total cost was and Joan stated is \$4.6 million. Keith stated that he has looked into a bond to go forward at the town meeting and wanted to know where we go from there.

- Charlie stated that the dimensions and size of the building are missing and Joan stated that is because it is still a work in process. Charlie asked Mr. Davis if he would go over the proposed dimensions. Mr. Davis stated that the proposal would be to build the 145 x 80 foot main floor with a pre-engineered building, and then frame the 80 X 80 foot front piece. He added that the plan calls for about 11, 600 sq. feet on the first floor with a co-shared lobby that includes a training room with fire and police space on each side. The upstairs is about 10,000 sq. feet with the combined net gross of the total building being about 29,000 gross sq. feet. Mr. Davis stated that the upstairs included more space for the Fire Department, some Police Department space, and more common/shared areas.
- Joan stated that they spoke to both Chief Willey and Chief Fowler and asked them to look at what they currently have and outline what works and doesn't currently work as well as where they think we will need to be 50 to 75 years out.
- Chief Willey stated the PSA Committee ruled out the existing Police Department's amenities because we are boarded by a stream on one side and a parking lot on the other and we currently share space so there is no way to look at this site for expansion. He added that everyone was in agreement that the most cost effective way was to put both the Police and Fire stations together and he pointed out that the design allows room for expansion of staff that is likely to occur over the next 50 years. Chief Willey stated that currently we operate in a primary 200 sq. foot area and have access to about 500 sq. feet plus another 500 sq. feet when needed. He added that when looking at about 35 years out, we need about 900 feet to operate and would like to have everything on the first floor, except maybe for things like locks and storage, which could be on the 2nd floor. He stated that one of the things we are now lacking is a complaint room. We have to hold conversations in the lobby and have to bring them into our breaks/copy/everything room which does not work very well to address confidentiality. We envision a complaint room with two doors so that we could use the second door in domestic violence cases where we could bring a victim in and remove them from the main area. Right off of the complaint room we would like an article area of retaining all the items we are required to keep which takes up a lot of space now. He added that one thing Mr. Davis and I talked about was adjacencies and work flow so as you move pass the lobby there would be a print/copy room, general offices, and an archive room. We would have officers share a PC but they would have two filing cabinets each. This plan would allow us to house up to 12 Police Officers and we would like to have our supervisors and police officers together.

We are also asking for a small alcove area for weapons, a door going out to the parking and an interview and quite room that would contain a table and 3 chairs to do in-depth interviews. Chief Willey stated that they would like a bail room where the bailer could work in a safe area while they work with arrestees and next to that would be a climate controlled evidence area with a window for logging evidence in and out, followed by an area for taking figure prints and mug shots. He pointed out that we need two different cells so that we can keep the adults from the juveniles, which is required by law. The plan would also allow us to storage of any evidence that is dangerous in a secured area.

He added that currently we do not have confidentiality when booking and that has caused us problems in the past and we need to control access when we are bring arrestees in. Chief Willey continued stating that the training room as we envision would not only be shared between the Police and Fire Departments, but we would also make it available to the community. This will be a large enough area (about 850 sq. feet) to hold about 50 people providing the community a place to meet. The second floor include the locker rooms, long term record storage, and event supplies used in programs like DARE and other public relation events. We would also like two separated locker rooms that are laid out to accommodate 20 male and 6 female personnel.

- Chief Fowler stated that the shared training room would also help us in cutting the cost of training for both departments. He added that the first room would be the dispatch center and would be where the public would go to get a fire permit, as well as radio room. The next area is offices and a small conference room. The plan is to have the decontamination room right off of that area where we have less of a chance of bring the contaminations into the building. Chief Fowler stated that right now we have to go up about 3 set of stairs and track that contamination throughout the building. He added that there will be space to house the trucks and currently we have 11 trucks, as well as a room for a small repair bay. There would also an EMS room for equipment and a gear storage room with lockers and room for storage. Next would be the record room where they would house all the records that must keep. On the second floor, there will be room over the bays to do training, as well as an off-time room, a kitchen, men & women's lock room and individual bunk rooms.
- Charlie asked Mr. Davis what the dimensions of the six individual bunk rooms were and Mr. Davis stated that they are approximately 10 x 10 rooms. Mr. Davis stated that the goal was to bring the plan forward to the Board and now we need to define the conceptual costs and actual design as well as needing to identify the technology and systems that need to go into the building so when we bring the total number forward it will be an extremely accurate cost amount. He also stated that he has an evaluation sheet that he could put up and can talk about the material.
- Jim stated that he would like to see the pre-engineered building clearly defined and what is going to happen with the other part. Mr. Davis explained the biggest reason he wanted to look at doing a pre-engineered building for the main part of the complex is because it will meet all government requires and they are structural sound. He added that the cost is going to be in the range of about \$150 to \$300 net per square foot which should be about the same for a conventionally built area. Matt stated the gross cost is actually about \$120 sq. foot. Mr. Davis stated that the front part of the building would be stick framed and added that they planned on using a wood pellet boiler to help reduce our energy cost.
- Charlie asked if there was any way to save and tighten our belts and Mr. Davis replied that one of the key factors is to determine the actual structure, when the actual cost are due and what money may be available. Charlie stated that he still had a couple of questions about whether there was and an overlay explaining how the bays will be used,

and Mr. Davis stated that he did not have the info available right now but he could get that for him at a later date. Mr. Davis added that they did measure the equipment and did an equipment layout to make sure everything would fit. Charlie stated that he would like to see the demotions and the equipment layout and questioned why we need a 3-port sally port when we don't have any now. Mr. Davis stated that the reasons have to do with opening doors and other things like that. Charlie then questions the size of the building and Mr. Davis explained that because both departments would be put together in one unit, they felt that the net square footage was needed.

- Barry stated that as a committee, we brought up and challenged why we needed all this things and they came up with very good reasons, and added that the Committee spend a lot of time listening to their needs. Paul Turner stated that he agreed with Barry and there was a lot thought that went into this plan.
- Jim stated that he does not see a generator and command room and Mr. Davis stated that those items will be located outside and at some time we will see the parking and access points. Jim added that he has room size concerns and wonder if some of these bunkrooms and locker room could be adjusted and consolidate.
- Charlie asked how thick the concrete is and what does it have to be. Mr. Davis answered that the building requirement is 5 inches, and there will be drains and separate water lines as required. Charlie asked what the height of the actually building was and Mr. Davis answered about 36 feet. Mr. Davis stated that we are considering a pellet silo on the site and we should continue talks about the heating units as well as what is needed in the decon area and the method and types of security at the access points. He added that right now the idea would be bullet proof glass in the lobby and that would be as is as far as the public could go into the building without someone letting them in further. Jim stated that he wanted them to think about securing the bays.
- Charlie asked if Arthur has any questions and Arthur stated he understands that we are talking about 75 years from now, but have we looked at building it for what we need in 15 to 20 years and design it to be expandable instead. Mr. Davis explained that yes they did, however any building can be built that way but the cost would be greater in the long run. Arthur also asked if there was and consideration in building separate buildings and Mr. Davis stated that it would be more money to build separate stations. Arthur asked what the tax impact would be and Keith stated that it would be about 65 cents for a 30 year bond and 76 cent for a 20 year bond. Arthur added that we may be losing Middletown tuition. Paul Turner stated that low interest rates and the cost of construction is now also low and if we wait much longer the cost of building and borrowing will be much more. Charlie agreed and stated that now is a good time to build. Jim asked if there are any grants for construction and Mr. Davis stated not for construction but we may get grants for the equipment.

- Matt stated that he appreciate everyone’s work and the efforts that went into this proposal. He added that he has two concerns with the proposal. The first being the location, noting that most of the population does not live downtown and he has a concern with response time building in the same spot. The other concern is the cost of this facility and he cannot and will not support this 4.6 million dollar burden on the tax payers for a new public safety building.
- Paula stated that she kind of agrees with what Arthur said in looking at building it for 15 years instead of 75. She stated that she also has a concern about the potential of development over the years and keeping the station in the existing location may be a problem for longer response times to fires. She added that the Chief Fowler has already had that problem with the house fire on Hawthorne Hill Road recently and asked what happens when we have to address the needs of these folks. Joan stated that they took those concerns into consideration as well as where the location of the schools and the investment of our downtown. We talked about the possibility of needing a sub-station in the future if that were to be the case. Paula stated that she is just looking at the needs of the town when considering putting the building in that one area and she wants to make sure we are not over building. Paul Turner stated that he did not think we are over building. Paula added that her concern is still building out for 75 years instead of just 15 – 35 years out. She questioned if the 4.6 million costs includes the land purchase and outfitting the inside and Mr. Davis answered that the cost would include everything. Charles asked again if the cost presented includes the land and Kelly stated the current propose does include the land.
- Brian stated that he appreciates the work the committee has done and agrees with Paula and Matt that a smaller building downtown would be better for the town people. He added that when the economy gets better the development will increase which will leave more 2/3 of the town located on the other side of Route 11, and when you look at put something just down town that does not cover everyone.
- John Law stated that he thought Matt and Paula were reading his mind. He added that he also had concerns about the selected location meeting the needs of those on the other side of Route 11, as well as the concern of building to meet the needs for 75 years out.
- Charlie asked if anyone else had any questions and there were none. He then stated that we are going to go into Non-Public to discuss this further.

9. ITEMS TABLED:

Charlie asked Keith if we could table some of the agenda items until next meeting and Keith said he had no objections except that we needed to approve the changes in FSA Carryover. The following agenda items were tabled until next meeting:

- Adoption in Modification - Employee Handbook
- Adoption of Modification – Farmington Pay Plan

- Draft 2014 Municipal Operation Budget /establish meetings for review

10. APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN FSA CARRYOVER PREVISION:

Charlie stated that this item is to approve the Health Savings Carryover in the amount of \$500.00.

MOTION: Charlie motioned to approve the changes in the FSA Carryover Prevision.

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: All in favor. Motion passes.

11. NON-PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS THE PUBLIC SAFETY PROPOSAL:

MOTION: At 9:16 PM Charlie motioned to enter into Non-Public Session 91-A:3 II (d) Discussion with Public Safety Advisory Committee.

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: Matt stated that he was opposed to this motion simply because of the site location that was chosen.

VOTE - By Roll: Matt opposed / all others in favor. Motion passes.

Board left for Non-Public Session at 9:17 PM.

Board returned from Non-Public Session at 10:11 PM.

12. ANY OTHER BUSINESS:

Board discussed the placement of a Christmas tree here at the Town Office and they all agreed that this should occur. No formal vote was taken.

13. ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: At 10:15PM Matt motioned to adjourn.

SECOND: Jim

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE - By Roll: All in favor. Motion passes.

Board adjourned at 10:15PM.

Respectfully submitted by Debra Cantwell, Recording Secretary